

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Preface</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
A    Introducing the research question	1
1    Europe and human rights	1
2    Introducing the concept of responsibility and remedies for violations of human rights by the EU	3
B    The role of this book and the academic discourse on the EU and human rights	5
1    The first milestone of this book: identification of the necessity of a system of remedies for the EU vis-à-vis human rights	5
2    Addressing the question and substantially contributing to the academic discourse on the EU and human rights	9
C    Terminology – human and fundamental rights	13
D    Plan and structure of this book	14
<b>Part I The remedies deficit</b>	
<b>Chapter 1 The failure of EU accession to the ECHR and the remedies deficit</b>	<b>21</b>
A    Introduction	21
B    The history of the ECHR in EU law	21
C    The effects of non-accession: no reform for remedies within the EU’s legal system	29
D    The effects of non-accession: continuing inaccessibility to ECtHR remedies	33
1    Binding judgments of international tribunals and the CJEU	33
2    Inability to access substantive remedies and achieve execution of ECtHR judgments	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS

i	Substantive remedies within the framework of the ECHR	35
ii	Execution of judgments within the ECHR framework	41
iii	The EU, substantive remedies and execution of judgments of the ECHR	42
E	Conclusion	43
<b>Chapter 2 The action for annulment – an inaccessible internal remedy</b>		<b>45</b>
A	Introduction	45
B	A potentially effective remedy	45
1	The relationship between annulment and human rights	45
2	Two rights – related grounds of review	46
i	Essential procedural requirements	47
ii	Infringement of the treaties and any rule relating to their application	50
C	Standing – an insurmountable obstacle?	53
D	Limited scope for access to the remedy of annulment	64
1	Competence areas where judicial review may occur	64
2	The jurisdiction of the CJEU in CFSP matters and human rights	67
3	Geographical scope of judicial review and human rights	72
E	Conclusion	75
<b>Chapter 3 Alternatives to the ECHR and the judicial review remedies</b>		<b>77</b>
A	Introduction	77
B	The indirect remedies provided by direct effect and preliminary references	77
C	The Plea of illegality: another alternative for fundamental rights protection to Article 263 TFEU?	88
D	Existent alternatives to the ECHR remedies beyond the EU’s legal system	89
E	Conclusion	90

**Part II Non-contractual liability of the European Union and the protection of human rights**

<b>Chapter 4 Introducing the relationship between non-contractual liability and human rights claims</b>	<b>99</b>
A Introduction	99
B The legal provisions and theoretical underpinning of non-contractual liability	99
C Introducing the criteria of Article 340 TFEU	102
D Significance of interim relief for the protection of rights within the action for damages procedure	103
E Applicability of non-contractual liability to human rights violations	106
<b>Chapter 5 Non-contractual liability: the concept of unlawfulness and human rights</b>	<b>109</b>
A Introduction	109
B Unlawfulness in damages actions and the protection of rights	110
C The need for sufficiently serious breach – the human rights perspective	114
D Discretionary vs non-discretionary acts – a redundant distinction for rights-based cases?	122
E Conclusion	127
<b>Chapter 6 Damage, causation and the principle of non-contractual liability of the EU vis-à-vis human rights claims</b>	<b>131</b>
A Introduction	131
B Remediable damage and human rights	131
C Causation and human rights	134
1 “Damage following directly or sufficiently directly”	134
2 Indirectly caused damage and human rights	135
3 Expansion of EU policies, causation and human rights	136
D ‘Damage’ and ‘unlawfulness’ – may they be the same concept in fundamental rights claims?	137
E Comparing the CJEU’s approach to damages for the vindication of a right per se with domestic law and European human rights law	145
1 Domestic legal systems	145
2 The European Court of Human Rights	147
F Conclusion	153

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 7 EU non-contractual liability for lawful acts and rights-based claims</b>	<b>157</b>
A    Introduction	157
B    The liability for lawful acts in EU law and human rights	157
C    Is the exception in FIAMM useful for rights-based cases?	161
D    Conclusion	163
<b>Chapter 8 The scope of application of the principle of non-contractual liability and human rights</b>	<b>165</b>
A    Introduction	165
B    Type of acts covered by non-contractual liability and human rights	165
C    Institutions, bodies, agencies and organs subject to the principle and the protection of human rights	168
D    Competence areas covered	172
E    Conclusions	175
<b>Part III The European Union and international human rights obligations</b>	
<b>Chapter 9 The EU and its involvement in international affairs</b>	<b>181</b>
A    Introduction	181
B    The EU in the context of non-state actors in international law	181
C    The EU – an international organisation with a foreign and defence policy liable to breach human rights	187
D    Conclusion	192
<b>Chapter 10 Does the EU have international human rights obligations?</b>	<b>195</b>
A    Introduction	195
B    The conditions for the existence of an international obligation	196
C    The necessity of accession to a multilateral international human rights instrument guaranteeing a sufficient range of human rights	198
D    The alternative solution of indirect obligations and indirect review	201
E    Obligations arising out of customary international law and jus cogens	204
F    Conclusions	211
<b>Chapter 11 The EU and the doctrine of attribution in international law</b>	<b>215</b>
A    Introduction	215
B    The doctrine of attribution in public international law	215

C	The criteria for holding the EU responsible as an international organisation	221
D	Case law on attribution and the EU	224
E	Observations on the rules on attribution and their applicability to the case of the EU	227
<b>Chapter 12 The EU's responsibility vis-à-vis member states obligations to implement EU policies</b>		<b>233</b>
A	Introduction	233
B	Member States as implementing policies of executive federalism	233
C	Member States implementing EU obligations and international human rights law	235
D	Conclusions	241
<b>Chapter 13 Remedies potentially available for the breach of international human rights law by the EU</b>		<b>243</b>
A	Introduction	243
B	The consequences of a breach of international law by an international organisation	243
C	The meaning of victim, damage and reparation	245
D	Remedies	248
	1 Restitution	248
	2 Compensation	251
	3 Satisfaction	254
E	Conclusions	258
<b>Chapter 14 Procedural obstacles: available legal fora to challenge the EU on the basis of an internationally wrongful act violating human rights</b>		<b>261</b>
A	Introduction	261
B	The International Court of Justice and the Court of Justice of the European Union	261
	1 The International Court of Justice	261
	2 The Court of Justice of the EU applying international law vis-à-vis human rights violations by the EU	265
C	Suggestions of the UNHCHR regarding potential scrutiny of EU action	267
D	Case study: the EULEX Panel	268
E	Proposals for a World Human Rights Court	272
F	Conclusions	273

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>275</b>
I    Revisiting the research question	275
II   Summary of findings	275
III  Proposals	284
A    The CJEU’s jurisdiction must be expanded to comprise all areas of Union action and all EU agencies, bodies and institutions	284
B    The CJEU ought to become more open to human rights claims through its case law	285
C    The EU ought to accede to more international human rights instruments and accept the jurisdiction of their compliance mechanisms	286
D    Arrangements should be made by establishing specialist bodies or cooperating with international institutions to establish the EU’s accountability with regard to its human rights obligations, in light of the lack of a set of international human rights obligations for the EU and the inadequate jurisdiction of the CJEU	287
IV   Final observations	288
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>289</b>
I    Books, contributions in books and articles	289
II   Reports, official documents and publications	311
<b>Table of Cases</b>	<b>315</b>
I    European Union	315
A    Court of Justice of the European Union / European Court of Justice	315
i    Judgments	315
ii   Opinions	320
B    General Court of the European Union / Court of First Instance	321
C    Civil Service Tribunal	324
D    Human Rights Review Panel for EULEX	324
II   Council of Europe	324
A    European Court of Human Rights	324
B    European Commission of Human Rights	327
C    European Committee on Social Rights	328
III  United Nations	328

A	International Court of Justice	328
B	United Nations Human Rights Committee	329
C	United Nations Committee Against Torture	329
D	International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	329
IV	Interamerican Court of Human Rights	330
V	World Trade Organisation	330
VI	United States – Iran Claims Tribunal	331
VII	Other International Tribunals	331
VIII	National Case Law	331
A	Cyprus	331
B	France	331
C	Germany	332
D	Ireland	332
E	United Kingdom	332
F	The Netherlands	332
G	Spain	333
<b>Table of legislation and instruments</b>		<b>335</b>
<b>Index</b>		<b>339</b>